UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETAS: JUN 14 P 12: 39

CENTRAL SECTION

U.S. DISTRICT COURT COTRICT OF MADD

JOHN J. HALLORAN, Plaintiff

V.

RJM CORPORATION and RICHARD J. MONRO. Defendants

CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-40110

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

INTRODUCTION

This is an action brought by the former Vice President and General Manager of the defendant RJM Corporation ("RJM") to enforce contractual obligations of the defendant to comply with its severance obligations, including payment of six months' severance, following his termination without cause on February 13, 2004. The plaintiff also asserts claims for violation of the Connecticut wage laws, Conn. Gen. Stat. §31-71 et seq. and intentional interference by the president and chief executive officer with his contractual relationship with RJM.

PARTIES

- The plaintiff, John J. Halloran, is an individual residing at 52 Whisper Drive, 1. Worcester, Massachusetts.
- The corporate defendant, RJM Corporation, is a corporation with a principal place 2. RECEIPT #__ AMOUNT \$ 150.00 of business at 101 Merritt 7, Norwalk, Connecticut, 06851. SUMMONS ISSUED__ LOCAL RULE 4.1____ WAIVER FORM_ MCF ISSUED_ {H:\PA\Lit\19264\00001\A0713252.DOC} BY DPTY, CLK...

3. The individual defendant, Richard J. Monro, is an individual residing in Scottsdale, Arizona. At all times relevant hereto, Mr. Monro is the President and Chief Executive Officer of RJM.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(a) because it is between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.
- 5. Venue is proper in this district and section pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(c) and Local Rule 40.1(D)(1)(c).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. On or about April 11, 2001, the plaintiff and RJM Corporation entered into an Employment Agreement, a true copy of which is attached as Exhibit A (the "Agreement").
- 7. Under the terms of the Agreement, the plaintiff was employed as Vice President and General Manager of the Combustion and Environmental Group of RJM.
 - 8. The Agreement contained a severance provision which provided as follows:
 - Termination by RJM Without Cause. If RJM terminates your employment without "cause" (as defined below), within five (5) years of the date you commence employment with RJM, RJM's sole obligation will be to provide you with salary through your termination date, plus unused vacation pay in accordance with RJM's policies, a severance payment equal to six (6) months base salary to be paid in equal installments in accordance with RJM's normal payroll practices commencing with your termination date and medical coverage at the same rate as for active employees for the six (6) month period. You shall not be entitled to any unearned performance bonus or other compensation.
 - 9. The plaintiff commenced employment with RJM on or about April 16, 2001.
- 10. The plaintiff's base salary as provided for in the Agreement was \$240,000.00 per year.

- On or about February 13, 2004, RJM and Mr. Monro terminated the employment 11. of the plaintiff without cause.
 - RJM did not make the severance payments in breach of the Agreement. 12.
- On or about March 9, 2004, the plaintiff made demand upon RJM to fulfill its 13. severance obligations under the Agreement.
- RJM made no response to that demand other than to continue the plaintiff's 14. medical coverage.

COUNT I

BREACH OF CONTRACT v. RJM CORPORATION

- The plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in the preceding 15. paragraphs.
- The plaintiff fully and completely performed his obligations under the 16. Agreement.
- RJM breached its obligations under the Agreement by failing to pay the severance 17. payments.
- As a direct and proximate result, the plaintiff has suffered damages totaling 18. \$120,000.00.

COUNT II

VIOLATIONS OF CONNECTICUT WAGE ACTS v. RJM CORPORATION AND RICHARD J. MONRO

- The plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in the preceding 19. paragraphs.
- The plaintiff was an employee earning wages as those terms are defined in Conn. 20. Gen. Stat. §31-71a.

- 21. RJM and Mr. Monro were employers as that term is defined in Conn. Gen. Stat. §31-71a and case law.
- 22. Conn. Gen. Stat. §31-71e prohibits an employer from withholding any portion of an employee's wages with certain exceptions not applicable to the facts in the case at bar.
- 23. During the course of the plaintiff's employment, RJM withheld portions of the plaintiff's wages in violation of Conn. Gen. Stat. §31-71e.
- 24. The unlawful withholding by RJM and Mr. Monro began in January of 2002 and continued throughout the plaintiff's employment.
- 25. The amount of wages withheld from the plaintiff totaled \$124,602.46. Of that amount, \$58,153.85 was unlawfully withheld in 2002, \$45,240.38 was unlawfully withheld in 2003, and \$21,208.23 was unlawfully withheld in 2004.
 - 26. The defendants made payment of those withheld sums on February 20, 2004.
- 27. In addition, as described above, the defendants have unlawfully withheld severance wages due and payable under the Agreement in the amount of \$120,000.00.
- 28. The defendants' actions in withholding the plaintiff's wages were arbitrary, unreasonable, or in bad faith.
- 29. Pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. §31-72, the plaintiff is entitled to recover twice the full amount of such wages unlawfully withheld, with costs and such reasonable attorney's fees as may be allowed by the Court.

COUNT III

TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE v. RICHARD J. MONRO

30. The plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

- The plaintiff and RJM had a contractual relationship which provided for 31. severance payments to be made by RJM to the plaintiff.
- The defendant Mr. Monro tortiously interfered with the contractual relationship 32. between the plaintiff and RJM and caused RJM not to make the severance payments required under that Agreement.
- The conduct of Mr. Monro in interfering with the contractual relationship between 33. the plaintiff and RJM was motivated by an improper motive or undertaken utilizing improper means.
- As a direct and proximate result of Mr. Monro's tortious interference with his 34. contractual relationship, the plaintiff has suffered damages in the amount of \$120,000.00.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff demands judgment against the defendants jointly and severally as follows:

- for such actual damages as may be proven at trial, but said sum not less than the 1. contractual severance payments of \$120,000.00;
- for the multiplication of the amounts unlawfully withheld totaling \$244,602.46 2. pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. §31-72;
 - for reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. §31-72; 3.
 - 4. for costs of suit and interest; and
 - for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. 5.

JURY DEMAND

John J. Halloran requests a trial by jury on all Counts of this Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN J. HALLORAN

By his attorney,

Richard C. Van Nostrand, Esq.

BBO #507900

Kristina H. Allaire, Esq.,

BBO #646001

Mirick, O'Connell, DeMallie & Lougee, LLP

100 Front Street

Worcester, MA 01608-1477

Phone: (508) 791-8500 Fax: (508) 791-8502

Dated Ine 14, 2004

Case 4:04-cv-40110 CDS/ Drownship Eiled-06/44/2004 Page 7 of

	Case 4.04-07-40	CAMIL	CU	VER SHEE	144/2004 Tag	67010	
THE JS-44 civil cover she is the Clerk of Court for the Clerk of Cou	eet and the information ed by local rules of court the purpose of initiating	contained herein nei L. This form, approved the civil docket sheet	ther repla d by the J t. (SEE IN	ace nor supplement the Judicial Conference of the ISTRUCTIONS ON THE	filing and service of plea	adings or other papers as requirember 1974, is required for the u	
(a) PLAINTIFFS			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	DEFENDANTS	MEACUSE OF THE FOR	M.)	
John J. Halloran				RJM Corporation and Richard J. Monro			
52 Whisper Drive				101 Merritt & 7910 E. LaJunta Road			
Worcester, MA 01609				Norwalk, CT	06851 Scottsd	lale, AZ 85255	
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF WOTCESTET			COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT				
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES ONLY)	
				TRACT OF L	NDEMNATION CASES. U AND INVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE	
Mirick O'Connel 100 Front Stree	1	ENUMBER)		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)			
BASIS OF JURISE	DICTION (PLACE AN	1 X, IN ONE BOX ONTA)	III. CI	Divolsity Cases Crity;		PLACE AN 'X' IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	<u> </u>	n nent Not a Party)	PTF DEF Citizen of This State XXX 0 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 0 4 0 4 of Business In This State				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	≥ 35x 4 Diversity (Indicate Citize	enship of Parties	Citi	izen of Another State		l and Principal Place D 5 D 5 is In Another State	
-	in item III)			Citizen or Subject of a 🗆 3 🗀 3 Foreign Nation 🖂 6 🖂 6			
/. ORIGIN		(PLACE AN		NE BOX ONLY)		Appeal to District	
Proceeding Sta	noved from :: 3 Ren te Court App	nanded from 4	Reinstated Reopened	Transferr d or		Judge from rict 7 Magistrate	
NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN "X" IN OI	·				- vaagnant	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	ORTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted	310 Airplane 362 Personal Injunct 315 Airplane Product 315 Airplane Product 325 Personal Injunct 326 Assault, Libel & Stander 348 Assestos Personal Injunct 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 348 Assestos Personal Injunct 326 Assault 326 Assestos Personal Injunct 326 Personal Injunct		620 Oil	410 Agriculture 420 Other Food & Drug 525 Drug Related Selzure of Property 21 USC 881 430 Equor Laws 440 R R & Truck 550 Airline Regs	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawai 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportlonment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER	"" _	3 660 Occupational Safety/Health 3 690 Other	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	<u> </u>	LABOR		Exchange B75 Customer Challenge	
180 Stockholders Suits ※図90 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damag			SOCIAL SECURITY	12 USC 3410 391 Agricultural Acts	
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	☐ 385 Property Damag Product Liability	- 1 .	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	341 HIA (1395ff) 342 Black Lung (923)	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act ☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETIT	IONS	720 Labor/Mgmt Relations	343 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 344 SSID Title XVI	894 Energy Allocation Act	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 510 Motions to Vacat	•	730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ \$45 RSI (405(g))	□ 895 Freedom of information Act	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence Habeas Corpus		740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	\$\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\text{\tin}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tex{\tex	
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 444 Welfare	530 General 535 Death Penalty		790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
290 All Other Real Property	440 Other CMI Rights	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oti ☐ 550 CMI Rights)et 🗆	791 Empl Ret Inc Security Act	or Defendant) \$71 IRS - Third Party	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
Breach of contracitizens of diff has also brought Gen. Stat. Secti	DO NOT CITE JURISDICT act action broug erent states an a cause of act	ght in this Cond where the	ourt p	oursuant to 28	U.S.C. Section	1332(a) between 000. The plaintiff d violations of Conr	
II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	·	SACLASS ACTIO		EMAND \$364,602 us Attorneys F		nly if demanded in complaint:	
II.RELATED CASE(S	(See instructions):	ogen/a			DOCKET NUMBER		
ATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	PORNEY	OF RECORD	·		
6/11/04	1	RWCV.	100				
R OFFICE USE ONLY		Richard C. V	an No:	strand, Esq.			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	TITLE OF CA	SE (NAMI oration	e of first party on each side only John J. Halloran v. and Richard J. Monro
2,			THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LIS
	ON THE CIVI	L COVER	SHEET. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1))
		I.	160, 410, 470, 535, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT
	XX	II.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 625, 710, 720,730, 740, 790, 791, 820, 830, 840, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.
	<u> </u>	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.
		IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.
		v.	150, 152, 153,
3.	TITLE AND NO	MBER, IF	F ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(E))
4.	HAS A PRIOR	ACTION B	SETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN
5.			
J.	AFFECTING TE	IPLAINT 1 IE PUBLIC	IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS
	IF SO, IS THE U	.S.A. OR A	AN OFFICER ACENT OF THE COLUMN
•			AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? (SEE 28 USC 2403)
6.			
	PURSUANT TO	TITLE 28	TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES
7.	DO ALL PARTI	ES IN T	HIS ACTION PESTOE DE TUE
			HIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF ESTER COUNTY): (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)) YES OR IN THE WESTERN
	SECTION (BERK)	SHIRE, FT	RANKLIN, HAMPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D))
8.	SECTIONS OF THE	PARTIES LE DISTRI	RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETIS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN
			SECTION DOES THE PLAINTIFF RESIDE? Central
9.	IN WHICH SECTION	ON DO TE	HE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE: Central
10.	IF ANY OF THE P	ARTIES A	ARE THE UNITED STATES, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, OR ANY
	GOVERNMENTAL	AGENCY	OF THE U.S.A. OR THE COMMONWEALTH, DO ALL OTHER PARTIES RESIDE
	IN THE CENTRAL	SECTION	OR WESTERN SECTIONOR WESTERN SECTION
		_:	
(PI FASE	TVEE OF TOTAL	**	
ATTORNI	TYPE OR PRINT) EY'S NAME	Richar	d C. Van Nostrand, Esq.
			100 Front Street, Worcester, MA 01608-1477
	NE NO(508)		